4th September 1928]

2. The Government also sanction his temporary exemption for the period 1st May 1927 to 31st May 1927 when he held charge of the Mangalore circle.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

A. Y. G. CAMPBELL,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police.
,, Accountant-General.
Copy to the Judicial Department.

TAMIL NADU LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

APPENDIX III.

[Vide answer to question No. 103 asked by Mr. G. Harisarvottama Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 4th September 1928, page 162 supra.]

Copy of Resolution from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue and Settlement), Mis. No. 2904, *dated 7th October* 1927.

The Board has carefully examined the Collector's proposal but considers that, in view of the infinite variations in the conditions of spring channels and the many districts in which they are found, it is not possible to fix an exactly appropriate rate of fasaljasti without exhaustive enquiries in several districts. Any change in the rates would involve the upsetting of the settlement rates in many districts which is obviously undesirable during the currency of a settlement. It would, in the Board's opinion, also form a dangerous precedent.

2. The Board observes that the cost of cultivation under spring channels was allowed for by placing the sources in a lower class than would otherwise have been the case; the wet assessment is generally low and the fasaljasti correspondingly moderate. It is not likely that the fasaljasti will amount to half the net value of a crop though it may be higher relatively than the charge on lands under tanks. Further, if the cost of clearance per acre is as great as alleged, it is clear that the assessment is only a small addition to the cost of cultivation and there is no reason to believe that it is so high as to deter ryots from cultivating a second crop where water is available. In the circumstances the Board does not consider it desirable to take further action in the matter.